1	CITY OF NEWARK
2	DELAWARE
3	
4	PLANNING COMMISSION
5	MEETING MINUTES
6	
7	MEETING CONDUCTED IN PERSON AND REMOTELY
8	VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS
9	
10	APRIL 5, 2022
11	7:00 P.M.
12	
13	Present at the 7:00 P.M. Meeting:
14	Commissioners Present:
15	Chairman: Willard Hurd, AIA
16	Vice-Chair: Alan Silverman
17	Secretary: Karl Kadar
18	Allison Stine
19	Chris Williamson
20	Mark Serva
21	Stacy McNatt
22	
23	Staff Present:
24	Paul Bilodeau, City Solicitor
25	Mary Ellen Gray, Planning and Development Director
26	Renee Bensley, Planning and Development Deputy Director
27	Michael Fortner, Planner
28	Katie Dinsmore, Administrative Professional I
29	

Chair Hurd called the Planning Commission to order at 7:02 p.m.

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

Chair Hurd: Alright, Good evening, everyone and welcome to the April 5th, 2022 City of Newark Planning Commission meeting. This is Will Hurd, chair of the Planning Commission. As we are conducting a hybrid meeting using the Microsoft Teams platform, I would like to provide some guidelines for the meeting structure so that everyone is able to participate. Katie Dinsmore, the department's Administrative Professional, will be managing the chat and general meeting logistics. At the beginning of each item, I will call on the related staff member to present and, once the presentation is complete, I will call on each Commissioner in rotating alphabetical order to offer their comments. If a commissioner has additional comments they would like to add later, they should ask the chair to be recognized again after all others have had the opportunity to speak. For items open to public comment, we will then read into the record items received prior to the meeting followed by open public comment. If members of the public would like to comment on an agenda item and are attending in person, they should sign up on the sheet at the entrance and will be called on to speak at the appropriate time. If members of the public attending virtually would like to comment they should use the hand raising function in Microsoft Teams to signal the meeting organizer that they would like to speak or message the meeting organizer through the chat function with their name, district or address, and the agenda item on which they would like to comment. All lines will be muted, and cameras disabled until individuals are called on to speak. At that point the speaker's microphone and camera will be enabled and they can then turn on their cameras and unmute themselves to give their comments. Public comments will be limited to 5 minutes per person, all speakers must identify themselves prior to speaking, comments in the Microsoft Teams chat will not be considered part of the public record for the meeting unless they are read into the record as part of the meeting. The City of Newark strives to make our public meetings accessible and while the City is committed to this access, pursuant of the Delaware code section 10006A, technological failure does not affect the validity of this meeting, or any actions taken at this meeting. On general items, we will follow public comment with further discussion and questions from the commissioners and then the motions and voting by roll call. Commissioners will need to articulate their reasons for their vote for particular items. If there are any issues during the meeting, we may adjust these guidelines if necessary.

1. Chair's Remarks

- 58 Chair Hurd: Alright, Item 1, Chair's remarks. I don't really have anything except it's kind of surreal to be
- 59 back here after 2 plus years. So, moving on.

2. Approval of the March 1st, 2022, Minutes

- 61 Chair Hurd: We have the minutes from the March 1st meeting. There have been some comments and
- 62 corrections from Renee and others. Are there any other comments and corrections that people would like
- 63 to submit?

57

60

68

- 64 Commissioner Kadar: I have one Mr. Chairman; this is Karl Kadar. On line 91 that should be "chairing" not
- 65 "sharing".
- 66 Chair Hurd: Ok, anyone else? Alright minutes are approved by acclimation with corrections. That takes us
- 67 to item 3.

3. Review and consideration of the draft Comprehensive Development Plan 2.0

- 69 Chair Hurd: Review and consideration of the draft Comprehensive Development Plan version 2.0 per the
- 70 5-year review. Now to Planner Fortner.
- 71 Planner Fortner: Alright am I picking up, it sounds like it. Are you hearing me online alright Alan?
- 72 Commissioner Silverman: I'm getting quite an echo.
- 73 Planner Fortner: Getting an echo? I'm supposed to talk right into this microphone but it's pretty sensitive.
- 74 Alright thank you Mr. Chairman and Planning Commission. I'm here to present the Comprehensive
- 75 Development Plan. I think there is Katelyn if you wouldn't mind putting up the slide? We just have a
- few slides, to give some structure. Of course, the Planning Commission met on March 1st, and they gave
- a lot of comments to the plan and since then we've also had a project Steering Committee that met on
- the 17th, and they reviewed your comments and approved the draft to go forward to Planning Commission
- and also, we got the letter from the Office of State Planning Coordination. They also reviewed the plan
- the month previous, and we got their letter. And so, they provided some comments as well and so I tried
- 81 to work all of these comments into this draft of the Comprehensive Plan review, and I can just sort of walk
- you through them and this is the things we'll just sort of go through. We'll keep it on that slide for now.
- 83 So, you have a report dated March 29th, and if you go to...if you don't mind, I could just go chapter by
- 84 chapter or?
- 85 Chair Hurd: Yeah, I suggest you review all the changes since we last looked at it.
- 86 Planner Fortner: Then we'll go through?
- 87 Chair Hurd: Yeah. I'll have the Commissioners take their turn after.
- 88 Planner Fortner: Alright, so the introduction there was added by staff an update to the trends and it's
- 89 in that yellow line right there. We just...it was just a comment about the redevelopment of College Square
- 90 this is another development that happened. When you get to pages 11 and 12, there was some
- discussion made by request of the Planning Commission and added an expansive definition of household,
- 92 family household, household nonfamily in your report right there. Those are roughly taken from the U.S.
- 93 census and that's the source we used. We wanted to provide those definitions and we added those. And
- 94 that concludes Chapter 1.
- Commissioner Kadar: One item on the non-family households definitions, line 46, there I think you meant
- 96 "household or living alone" not "along".
- 97 Planner Fortner: Yes, I would have meant alone let me see... "living alone with people to whom he or she
- 98 is not related".
- Commissioner Kadar: No, down at the bottom of the page, line 46...just above line 46.
- 100 Planner Fortner: Oh, just above?
- 101 Commissioner Kadar: "A non-family household consists of a household or living along".
- 102 Planner Fortner: Oh, there it is, yep. Should be an "E" thank you. Ok, we get to Chapter 2, Community
- Profile. At the request of Steering Committee, there was a little wording change that will...first of all, this
- is added to the section on page 15 and 16. At the request of the Planning Commission, there was also a

105 slight wording change, just a syntax there, and that was on page 15 and 16 and it was a kind of an 106 orientation to the census and how we collected or used that data. On Chapter 2 at the request of the 107 Planning Commission, we added...there was a line where we said "less diverse" where originally, we said something along the lines of "we're behind" but the Commission recommended "less diverse" or, I'm 108 109 sorry, they recommended "different". We put in "less diverse", so we said that we are becoming more diverse, but are less diverse than the rest of Delaware and the United States. On page 25, again at the 110 request of the Planning Commission, they requested, since data becomes out of date very quickly, that 111 112 we provide links to the most up to date sources, so we provided that on page 25 for the Community Profile 113 chapter and those are both the sources that we've used.

The next chapter would be Chapter 3. There was a request by the Planning Commission to change "exploit" to "utilize" – we used just a change of words there to clarify and use a more positive word. The big thing is that I tried to put that picture in the heading of the chapter, or I was going to do the picture with the laser beams coming out which is behind, but the Steering Committee didn't like it, so I took it

out. So, there's nothing there.

That's Chapter 3 and we'll go to Chapter 4, Public Utilities. This is based on the DNREC...they recommended this little section. We added this section – they wrote this. It's directly from their letter so we added this in, and they gave some additional information which will be for our records, but they asked us to put this paragraph in there in this new section, so we did that. And that concludes the major changes in Chapter 4. Also, in the letter, there was a request to clarify how we do recycling in Newark and that was clarified by Public Works.

So, the next chapter we go to chapter 5, again this is a Planning Commission request to update the map we used 2010. We talked with WILMAPCO, and they just had the data to do census blocks to break it down and so they inserted that map as more of a density map. And then we inserted this paragraph to just give a little more background on that thing. I notice there's a typo and I've revised it should say on the first line there, 92, "the density from the 2010 census to the census map 2020" so that was just written confusingly, I think. I rewrote that to make it clearer and that will be in the final text. The next number on line 99 is at the request of the Planning Commission, some more clarification on University Housing so we added some extra text there. We talked about how they're shifting more centralized and how the bedroom counts in terms of the University population the policy's remained the same, but when they extended their dormitories, they actually purchased some of our rental housing that already existed and made it a dormitory, so really have a significant shortfall on the overall impact on housing through these university acquisitions. And so, we just tried to identify that in that paragraph. So, the next section line 104, the last bullet point on page 4, is based on a DNREC comment and they asked us, and this is inserted in Chapter 5, they asked us to promote their Energy weatherization program, so we included that to a list of programs we already have in the City because Newark residents are eligible for that, so we put that in there at

140 DNREC's request.

125

126 127

128

129

130131

132

133

134

135

136137

138

139

- 141 Chair Hurd: One moment, Mark has a question. Commissioner Serva?
- 142 Commissioner Serva: Yes, thank you. I'm having trouble following because on my copy first of all there are 143 no line numbers, you're referring to line numbers and second of all the it's hard to follow because there 144 aren't any line numbers on what you're saying if you could also when you're presenting you could also 145 mention the page number that would really help, you're referencing say page 4 but I'm on like 51 on my
- output so I'm trying to follow along with your presentation.
- Planner Fortner: Ok, so I am on the memo dated March 29th and I am on page 4, I just finished covering the last bullet point on page 4 where I talk about adding the DNREC's weatherization program for Newark
- 149 residents.
- 150 Chair Hurd: And Commissioner Serva, Director Gray is going to email you.
- Director Gray: Actually, I'm going to re-email the packet that was sent electronically to all of the
- 152 Commissioners.
- 153 Chair Hurd: There were no line numbers in the Comp Plan but there are line numbers in the department
- report which Planner Fortner is using.
- 155 Commissioner Serva: Thank you, I'll pull that up.
- Planner Fortner: Alright, so now I'm moving to page 5, the first bullet on page 5. It starts with page 66.
- 157 And then again this, how about this? Alright.

Chair Hurd: Commissioner Serva did you still have a question? No, alright thank you.

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172173

174175

176

177

178179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188 189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197 198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

Planner Fortner: So again, I'm on the top of page 5 where I reference page 66. Like Chapter 2, I added this source information so, yeah, this was the information that we used. So it was the U.S. Census Bureau since information gets out of date quickly, so people can go to this site and get the most up-to-date information as the American Community Survey rolls and continues each year. There were also a few other suggestions added such as the Ford Foundation, and Zillow. I didn't add those because I didn't use those for data to add to the report, so I didn't feel it was appropriate. I wanted to stick to government sources I used for the report.

And that moves us on to Chapter 6. Chapter 6 is transportation, again I'm on the second bullet on page 5, page 71 on at the Planning Commission's request, the Commission asked for a summary paragraph about Newark transportation about how dynamic the city is in terms of the University and how that impacts our transportation needs and our downtown, local parks, so this is just a summary paragraph that I think fits well and kind of sets the framework for Newark transportation. On the third bullet down on page 5, is, again this is staff inserted this, it's just a paragraph that was slightly rewritten, there were some syntax errors in that, and it wasn't clear and so it was written to be clearer. The 4th bullet on page 5 starts out on page 79, we did a status update on the Library Avenue mid-block crossing which is part of the TID discussion later on in the chapter at the request of Planning Commission. The final bullet on page 5 at the bottom of the page, this is from DART asked us to add some information about some DART services and so we...under this we added a little about the bus routes and where the bus goes, direct bus routes go. We also added a section on the next bullet – we're going on to page 6 now – we have a little bit of information on the Newark Train Station and more of an update which is scheduled to be done as they say in December 2022. And we added a picture, which I'm surprised we didn't have a picture in there already, but we didn't. Continuing on page 6 to page 90 this is from staff and DelDOT, DelDOT requested...we didn't have any new action items for transportation but we are actively involved in TID and all that which are ongoing, but we did come up with the Newark Bike Plan so we did put that in as a status, the Newark Bike Plan is actually looking to do an update on that and then later on moving to Chapter 7, we included another action item that was sort of already in there but we pulled it out as action item 10 where we explore the feasibility of micro transit in the City of Newark and we are working actively with our DART partner to look at grant opportunities and plan on how micro transit could look in Newark, and we think that's a good opportunity so that's an action item we inserted in there.

And that concludes Chapter 6, again I'm on page 7 of the staff report, and I'm moving to Chapter 7, Environmental Quality and based on DNREC we inserted a section on page 93 that kind of starts off the chapter with a paragraph about climate change and sustainability and we included this new text that they provided for us and then that leads right into the Newark Sustainability Plan we also had some public input that was collected as part of the Charrette process, and Katelyn if I could get you to go to the next slide please? We received this and it's from John Mateyko, he's from the CAC, and he provided some text about climate change and the text is written in the yellow there and he goes on to say that you could add on the third line...staff reviewed this carefully. We liked the first sentence, we think that's appropriate and we're recommending, if Planning Commission would like to insert this, to put this at the end of the climate change chapter on page 93 just after the new text we added we would go with that sentence. And then instead of the sentence 2 and 3 – if you could go to the next slide – we're recommending this text. John's text cites the National Climate Assessment, which is a very good document. It's all the federal agencies that collect this data, but it's a very technical document and it's more of a global scope document and so, instead of citing that document, we thought we'd get the same impact as citing the Delaware Climate Action Plan. They've asked us to cite that which we've done already in the plan, cite the plan but also because it is an action plan more specific to Delaware, it studies the specific needs of Delaware and, if we're going to do any mitigation, we would most likely work with our state partners, so we thought it would make the most sense to cite again the Delaware Climate Action Plan as our scientific basis for why we believe climate change is real and it is a concern of our community. And so that's up to the Planning Commission if we'd like to insert that in. So that covers...I'm still on chapter 7. On page 7, the Planning Commission...let's see this is really the second bullet down, it would be line 172. On page 99, this was the correction – on the environmental equality map it said, "Chrysler Opportunity Zone" and we changed that to STAR Campus. That was the old name for it, but the new name for it is STAR Campus, so we did that.

That concludes Chapter 7.

Next we move on to Chapter 8, Parks and Recreation. The map on page 108 – this had to do with...they had numbers that didn't really coincide with anything. It was just a map and so I worked with our GIS staff, so we got those numbers taken off and so that map was replicated without the numbers. Moving to page

215 8...let's see...on page 120, a new action item was added based on the comments from the PLUS letter and 216 it was about wetlands and buffers. DNREC made a recommendation and acknowledged that we had a 50-217 foot vegetation buffer zone. They recommended we do a 100 feet vegetation buffer zone. So, I consulted with Thomas Fruehstorfer and Parks and Recreation, and Public Works and their thought was that the 218 219 100-foot buffer zone was too much for an urban area and they recommended this action item 7 which 220 would be more a policy...to develop a policy to develop a 100-foot vegetation buffer from all wetlands, waterbodies, waterways, and city parkland that we would, in the land we already own, create these 221 222 buffers. And also explore removing invasive plants in those areas where appropriate. That concludes 223 Chapter 8.

Now we're on to Chapter 9 which is Economic Development. I'm on page 8 of the staff report, page 122 – based on staff review, text was added to update on the recently updated development on the Mill at White Clay Creek, so we just added some language that was done recently because it's a narrative of the different types of development happening around. And that concludes Chapter 9.

On page 8, next we're on to Chapter 10. The first bullet was part of a discussion from the Planning Commission meeting this had to do with the University and clarifying that, so we took out the language we took out the previous definition to clarify single family homes purchased by UD for residential purposes do not automatically become designated as University Comp Plan designation. So the purpose of this is that this does provide protection for areas such as where the ELI Center is because, if that was to sell, we have it designated that it would be low density residential. Of course the University is exempt from our zoning code per se, but if they did try to sell that we have these kind of protections in it now, it acknowledges that because it's a single family house doesn't mean we automatically zone it to University for a single family home. We're on the 4th bullet down on page 8, page 139 based on staff review of the Comp plan, Omnibus corrections we originally had them, but I took them out but what you approved in October all of those corrections, we added that to the list of just sort of Comp Plan changes in the update. The final bullet on page 8 was just a wording clarification that was requested by the Planning Commission because we say "the focus areas give direction" I think we said these "guidelines" give directions instead. Now I'm on page 9, first bullet, where we created a new action item and I forgot to insert this but for each of the themes in the Newark Sustainability Plan we inserted it as an action item that covers sort of all the policy recommendations in the Newark Sustainability Plan. And so, theme 2 was about land use and how to use land use to develop good transportation policies to promote alternative transportation and electric cars and so we put that as action item number 2 because a big part of that theme is land use and using land use effectively. And that concludes Chapter 10 changes.

And finally, we go to Chapter 11. The main change was done by staff, and it has to do with Planning Area 4. As you notice from the report, this is called the Stopyra Tract. This is something that's designated in the plan as "out of play". And because at the time of the plan there was legislation that if the city annexed it would have to pay back all of the reservoir money that it was granted and so that was considered out of play. well we just learned as of [2022] that has been taken out that provision. So, it is actually eligible to be annexed. So, staff's recommendation for the Comp Plan is that if we ever get an application, because of the size and scale of the property the Planning Department recommends that if the City receives an annexation proposal for the property, the project would be submitted to the Office of State Planning Coordination for an amendment to the Comp Plan and it would go through a similar process to what the Walton Farm went through. We would just go through that process again because we know that would be a very difficult process and we don't want to, if we put in an annexation now, it would make controversy we don't need right now. So that's our recommendation, so the plan just acknowledges that it could be, that it's no longer out of play

that it's no longer out of play.

228

229

230231

232

233

234

235236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253254

255

256

257

258

And then finally Chapter 12 page 9, no changes were made to that. And that concludes my presentation and now I can go to the question phase.

262 Chair Hurd: Thank you Planner Fortner, we will begin with Commissioner Kadar.

263 Commissioner Kadar: As I said at the last meeting Michael, excellent job I appreciate all of your work on

264 this, and you deserve a hell of a lot of credit for it.

265 Planner Fortner: Thank you.

266 Commissioner Kadar: I was impressed with the depth of the state review. I mean we all have visions of 267 the autocrats down in Dover and some of them are very positive and some of them might be a little less 268 positive. However, they did a really good job and a really deep dive in reviewing this Comprehensive Plan

- and I think you've done an admirable job rolling all of those comments into the draft. I have no comments
- other than we're good as far as I'm concerned.
- 271 Planner Fortner: Thank you.
- 272 Chair Hurd: Alright, thank you. Commissioner McNatt if you're able? Alright I'll move on and maybe come
- 273 back to Commissioner McNatt. Commissioner Serva?
- 274 Commissioner Serva: Yeah, I also want to echo the comments that Commissioner Kadar made. I think it's
- a really interesting presentation and I don't have too many comments here. The only thing I might suggest
- is that in Chapter 7, the additional text you were going to add regarding climate change, I think that could
- be worded a little better something about the best available science. I'm not sure if you could bring that
- 278 up?
- 279 Planner Fortner: Could you bring that up Katelyn?
- 280 Commissioner Serva: I was just going to suggest something along the line of "the overwhelming
- consensus", "the overwhelming scientific consensus". "Best available science" to me just doesn't sound
- like the appropriate tone, but it's just a minor comment. It's just a wording preference as opposed to
- anything major. So, I would just suggest along the line "the overwhelming scientific consensus" as
- opposed to "best available science". I think it's a little more on point but again, I think it was a great
- presentation. It's just a minor comment.
- Planner Fortner: That's a good suggestion, that text works too. I know that the person who made this
- comment the "best available science" was important aspect of his comment, but I think there are other
- ways to say that that might be clearer.
- 289 Commissioner Serva: I will defer to you. I just wanted to offer this as a suggestion. If you think that's the
- 290 best wording, I will defer to you.
- 291 Planner Fortner: Ok.
- 292 Chair Hurd: Commissioner Silverman?
- 293 Commissioner Silverman: I echo the other comments of the Commissioners on a job well done, I'd like to
- 294 go back to...let's talk about the climate change suggestions that were just up. Would an example of
- Newark's heavy reliance on surface water be appropriate there with respect to climate change and
- 296 drought in our region?
- 297 Planner Fortner: You're not talking about John's comment you're talking about just the comment on the
- 298 DNREC lines?
- 299 Commissioner Silverman: Yes, that's correct. Is a risk and danger to the public and health safety welfare
- to the City of Newark, Delaware, and maybe insert an example of Newark's very heavy reliance on surface
- water as part of its public water system.
- Planner Fortner: So, the idea is that we have less rainstorms, we have droughts?
- 303 Commissioner Silverman: That's correct.
- 304 Planner Fortner: Ok.
- 305 Commissioner Silverman: Because I remember some of the public comments who went around with FEMA
- 306 maps and flooding and the public had some notions about the Delaware River extending out of its banks
- and we had to point out the overflow from our local streams. And another comment going back to line
- 308 14, in the Housing and Community Development section. We had talked around providing the public who
- would read this document direction to other contemporary sources. We all know that sometimes the Census Bureau is lagging greatly and perhaps we could put a statement in there I understand your
- reluctance to deal with commercial sources, but just referring readers to searches on the internet for
- Newark, Delaware can provide additional information about the Newark community.
- 313 Planner Fortner: Ok.
- Commissioner Silverman: And my final comment is I concur with the staff with respect to action item 7 in
- 315 the area of line 185, that a 100-foot vegetation buffer just is not appropriate for an urban area. And I could
- 316 picture the number of housing units along Rahway Drive for example that would end up non-conforming
- because of this particular proposal. And Will, that's the end of my comments.

- 318 Chair Hurd: Ok, thank you. Commissioner Stine?
- 319 Commissioner Stine: Thank you, and congratulations on a really nice final work. I just to clarify had two
- 320 sort of items checked and I think you addressed one. The issue of electric vehicles this is in the State's
- review, and they talk about electric vehicles. Are you saying that was addressed in action item number 2 321
- 322 in chapter 10?
- 323 Planner Fortner: So, yes. That includes electric vehicles, so we feel like we referenced it there.
- 324 Commissioner Stine: Ok, that's great. So, the Department of Agriculture gave some pretty interesting
- comments, and I don't see that any of those were considered or were adopted. 325
- Planner Fortner: I don't think we adopted any of those. We had trouble with staff fitting that into what 326
- we are doing. We are an urban and suburban community, and this was talking about farmland 327
- 328 preservation, so we didn't. It's important but...
- 329 Commissioner Stine: But it does not apply, got it.
- 330 Planner Fortner: Except for the one farm that was just annexed, I mean we do have a farmland zoning, so
- we just didn't feel like it was applicable. 331
- Commissioner Stine: Alright, I didn't know if they knew something we didn't know. 332
- 333 Planner Fortner: It's an analysis that we did maybe more for the update rather than the review and some
- 334 of the stuff in the State letter was more for an update appropriate than a review.
- 335 Commissioner Stine: Right, that was fun to read. And that's all I had – thank you.
- 336 Chair Hurd: Thank you, Commissioner Williamson?
- 337 Commissioner Williamson: Thank you Chair Hurd, I just had a couple of style comments, but yeah kudos
- 338 to your staff putting together a plan and document. It's always planning, and plan are both a verb and a
- 339 noun and this is the plan. When we do these, it's a big deal and you have to be patient to see what happens
- 340 over time. Just in general, a style in the list of tables, in the titles of tables some have dates, and some
- don't and that's probably ok, I'm just looking say in the table of contents. I suggest you revisit, make sure 341 there's a date reference somewhere either in the text or the footnote otherwise people will assume it's 342
- the day they read it, or the date has something to do with when the plan was adopted and that may not 343
- 344 be accurate. So just be aware sometimes it's a good idea to put the date in the title. But that's a style
- 345 preference, up to you. On another style note, in Chapters 11 and 12 where you have the definitions, the
- 346 U.S. Census, the agency is actually Bureau of the Census, but it's often always goes by Census Bureau and
- 347 that's fine. U.S. Census might confuse people because they think of the Census 2020 or the Census 2010
- 348 and you know you might just say the Census Bureau, because it's really the agency that's the source, not
- the actual annual census 349
- 350 Planner Fortner: Ok.

358

- 351 Commissioner Williamson: Ok, and on page 20 just a typo under Age and Characteristics, bullet number
- 1, third line "residents under the age of 6 as rebounded" should that be "has rebounded"? On the second 352
- bullet there just a question really, the last sentence talks about "Newark's birth rate anticipated remains 353
- 354 comparable to the national average over the next 10 years". The national average has been going down
- 355 significantly so I don't know if that's still true you might just want to check that just a note. And let's see I had one or two more, again no criticism here. On page 54, the demographic shifts – it kind of looks like a 356
- 357
- population pyramid, which is a great graphic, showing ages of households as well as types of units, it only

goes to 2020 is it possible to get that extended to 2030? Because this...

- 359 Planner Fortner: (inaudible)
- Commissioner Williamson: Ah yes, of course, it just would have been useful to 2030, I understand 360
- 361 completely. On page 105, at the end of Healthy Community referencing the parks and Map 8-1 – would it
- 362 be useful to note when you look at the map downtown is kind of a hole, but the university has a lot of
- open space. So maybe a sentence that says the university campus has a lot of open space that acts like a 363
- 364 park or something like that.
- 365 Planner Fortner: Ok, and that might be referring to active open space. So, a lot of university land might
- 366 not qualify as active.
- 367 Commissioner Williamson: It is public though?

- Planner Fortner: Yeah, but active space has to have like a tennis court or something, it's kind of just a
- 369 weird thing.
- Commissioner Williamson: Right, on that same Map, 8-1, I just noticed there's about 5 white blank spots.
- 371 I didn't know if that's a GIS boo-boo, is there something that's supposed to be in them? If not if we could
- just correct them?
- 373 Planner Fortner: Ok.
- 374 Commissioner Williamson: Just little boxes of nothing they look like they should have text. And just one
- more, I think. I share with the other Commissioners on page 120, action item 7 regarding buffers and the
- idea that in an urban area you want to have access to the water, so that a buffer area doesn't prevent
- 377 good public access so maybe put something in there about public access, but that'll be in your policy. And
- 378 I think that's all thank you.
- 379 Planner Fortner: Ok, thank you.
- Chair Hurd: I have nothing really bad except for I completely concur with everyone's comments. This was
- a huge undertaking and I greatly appreciate that you took it on and I'm glad we're reaching the end of it.
- 382 Planner Fortner: Well, you are.
- 383 Chair Hurd: Right. Ok, that moves us to public comment. Katie, was there any public comment received
- 384 on this item?
- 385 Ms. Dinsmore: No Chairman.
- Chair Hurd: Alright, we have no one in person, we have no one online.
- 387 Solicitor Bilodeau: Mr. Hurd, could you check and see if Commissioner McNatt is available?
- 388 Chair Hurd: Oh right. Commissioner McNatt, are you available to comment?
- Commissioner McNatt: Hi, I'm here. I agree with everybody's comments, I have no additional comments
- and thank you for including me.
- 391 Chair Hurd: Thank you. Alright so we're going to close public comment and bring it back...I'm going to
- assume there's not any final stuff so we can move to the motion.
- Commissioner Kadar: I was just going to say that this is...I'm just checking with our Solicitor, there's no
- legal requirement for a motion at this point but I think we ought to introduce one that just gives the City
- Council a feel that the Planning Commission has approved something in its capacity.
- 396 Solicitor Bilodeau: That makes eminent sense. So, I would make a motion something along the lines of
- 397 "the Planning Commission..."
- 398 Commissioner Kadar: So, let me introduce a motion then. Bear with me I kind of scribbled it as we went
- along. We move that the April 2022 draft of the City of Newark Comprehensive Development Plan
- 400 version 2.0 be accepted by the Planning Commission as supported by the Planning and Development
- 401 Department report dated March 29th, 2022 along with the comments and corrections noted at this
- 402 meeting of the Planning Commission on April 5th, 2022 and the additional paragraph for page 94
- 403 regarding climate change as submitted by the Planning Department be forwarded to the City Council
- 404 for their review and approval.
- 405 Commissioner Silverman: I'll second that.
- Chair Hurd: Ok, I'll just add if we could in the text of the motion, it's the Comprehensive Development
- 407 Plan, you left that out. Alright, we are moved in second, any discussion on the motion? Alright seeing none
- 408 I'll move to the vote. Commissioner Kadar?
- 409 Commissioner Kadar: I vote aye.
- 410 Chair Hurd: Commissioner McNatt?
- 411 Commissioner McNatt: Aye.
- 412 Chair Hurd: Thank you. Commissioner Serva?
- 413 Commissioner Serva: Aye.

- 414 Chair Hurd: Commissioner Silverman?
- 415 Commissioner Silverman: Aye.
- 416 Chair Hurd: Commissioner Stine?
- 417 Commissioner Stine: Aye.
- 418 Chair Hurd: Commissioner Williamson?
- 419 Commissioner Williamson: Aye.
- 420 Chair Hurd: And I vote aye as well. Motion passes, congratulations. And that closes item 3.

421 4. Informational Items

- 422 Chair Hurd: That takes us to item 4, informational items the Planning Director's report.
- Director Gray: Thank you Chairman Hurd, this is Mary Ellen Gray, Planning and Development Director. I've
- 424 got a couple items to review, can you hear me?
- 425 Commissioner Silverman: Coming through loud and clear.
- 426 Chair Hurd: Ok, thank you.
- 427 Director Gray: Ok, and also Deputy Director Bensley will be adding on to the report as well. So, projects that went and are going to Council – on March 7th, the Downtown Parking Plan Phase 1 and 2 were 428 429 presented to Council and the recommendations. And the recommendations were generally approved with 430 some caveats. Currently compiling the notes and we'll be meeting internally to suss those out and then Council asked us to come back at a future date hopefully May/June timeline with an implementation work 431 432 plan for those recommendations. I thought it was a very good discussion and happy to move onto the 433 next step in that project. On March 14th, the Mill/Creekview/500-700 Creek View Road application for development was approved by Council. On March 28th, there was a second reading for the microbreweries 434 435 and craft distilleries and Council approved the amendments to add both of those uses to the BB zoning district. Also, that night was a special use permit for Wooden Wheels which is the BB district up in the 436 437 Shops at Louviers and that was approved as well. The third item on the March 28th agenda was the second
- Shops at Louviers and that was approved as well. The third item on the March 28th agenda was the second reading to add the George Reed Village development into the Comprehensive Plan and that was approved
- by Council. Next Council meeting, we have off the next couple of weeks and the next Council meeting will
- be April 25th, where the land use item will be 132-138 East Main.
- Some other happenings and meetings, it was all about the Charrette last week, all hands-on deck, and that's the Charrette revisions to the RA and BB zoning code, I thought it was a fabulous event, it was a classic Charrette yet with an update, modernization. My first Charrette a long time ago was you were
- around a big table, you have a bunch of maps, and you've got that tracing paper, you've got stickies, you've got markers, you've got dots – we didn't do any of that we did it all virtually. But I thought and in
- talking with AECOM this was their first virtual Charrette that they have done, and it took a lot of up-front work including a virtual walking tour and people also were allowed since it was hybrid, were allowed to
- come in, we had some folks come into the meeting live with us. But I thought it was a really great event
- and the advantage of doing this virtually is that we were able to record them. So, if you have some time, maybe not look at all of them, but look at the virtual walking tour and the final presentation which was
- 451 made on Friday afternoon that was probably about an hour or hour and a half of presentation/discussion.
- 452 And that's where the final designs were presented as well as the recommended tenets that we came up
- 453 with as a result of the Charrette and that's all on our website on the Charrette website. So, the next step
- 454 for the Charrette is that we are currently working with the Charrette team to finalize the report that will
- summarize the happenings of the Charette and make recommendations on the main tenets for the RA
- and BB zoning code, and that we're looking to go May/June as well depending upon the availability of the
- agenda. I think this is going to have to take up most of the Council agenda for that night. So, stay tuned
- 458 on that.
- Deputy Director Bensley and I met with the City Manager to have a discussion about Council priorities. At
- the last Planning Commission meeting, I discussed that Council had come up with 14 project priorities that
- they would like the city staff to undertake this year and 8 of them are in the Planning Department, so we are starting to put together a work plan to present to Council and that is May 2nd. So, stay tuned for that.
- We continue to do the preliminary planning work on the transition to EnerGov, we had a meeting on a
- 464 meeting with a lot of people virtually to meet with the EnerGov team about some questions we had about

the proposed statement of work and the budget and we're starting to discuss what's called our workflow, how a permit goes from application to approval and all the steps that have to happen and how this database management system will address that. So, we had a demonstration and good conversation and we're super excited about the potential that the system has. Especially the front facing potential, meaning someone from the public accessing information. It's a journey and not a sprint, so that's going to take us a while but that's ok.

So also, along those lines we, with Katie Dinsmore's able assistance, we have not only have the active rental permits listed online but now we have a map which is super awesome, so I recommend folks going online and checking that out if you're interested in where all the rental properties are located in our city. And that is updated on a monthly basis.

We sent out a bunch of SAC letters between last meeting and now, 25 North Chapel, the 349, 341 and 339 East Main Street, the 10 & 16 Benny Street, and 30 South Chapel project and also the 65 South Chapel which is otherwise the Continental apartments. So, we have received a response from the 10 and 16 Benny folks, and we're currently reviewing that response so we're hoping that application will be ready to go by May for the May Planning Commission. We're waiting to hear back from a few departments on whether or not they can get their revisions done and into us. So now I'm going to hand it over to Deputy Director Bensley for her report thank you.

Deputy Director Bensley: Evening Everyone, this is my first time on this side of the dais at a meeting in a while and thank you very much for having me. For the record, I am Renee Bensley, Deputy Director of the Planning and Development Department and I just wanted to give some quick updates on what we've been up to in the Land Use Division. So, in addition to the projects that Director Gray listed for SAC letters, we also on Friday got out the 1119 South College SAC letter for the proposed hotel redevelopment that's down there. Additionally, we've been working on the preliminary research and potential paths forward for an accessory dwelling unit ordinance which was a recommendation from the Rental Housing Workgroup. A discussion will be brought to Planning Commission and Council prior to a formal ordinance being introduced. We are working on having that ready to cue up for the May Planning Commission meeting if the 10 and 16 Benny project isn't ready to come forward at that point, but we would push that back if there was a large development project on that agenda. We're also continuing training and realignment of tasks, in the division to maximize the contributions of our two new Planner 1s that came on in the end of November and the Deputy Director position coming on mid-February. We're reviewing our internal processes and procedures to see if there are improvements to the turnaround times for reviews particularly, we're looking at our development intake process in collaboration with other departments. So, we are ensuring that everything that is needed for an initial review is being collected at the original submission time and being reviewed at the time of intake. This will prevent incomplete submissions from sitting in the review queue, and then we get to review it, we can say "we don't have everything we need so please send us that and we'll start you again" so we're trying to cut out that delay in the review process. We're also looking at the Planning and Development Department website to update and correct content so we can provide a better public facing product. And I'm happy to take any questions that you guys have. Alright, thank you very much.

- 504 Commissioner McNatt: Can you hear me?
- 505 Chair Hurd: Yes.

475

476

477

478

479 480

481

482 483

484

485

486 487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501 502

503

514

- 506 Commissioner McNatt: Ok, sorry was I supposed to be speaking? I don't know what's happening.
- 507 Chair Hurd: If you have any questions for the staff?
- 508 Commissioner McNatt: No, I'm good, thank you, sorry.
- 509 Chair Hurd: Ok, no worries. (inaudible) Alright thank you, that concludes informational items.

510 **5.** New Business

Chair Hurd: That takes us to item 5, New Business. Anything on the Commissioner's minds that need to be brought up that we can bring back at a later meeting as an agenda item? That you didn't feel comfortable talking about at any other time? Ok, that concludes new business.

6. Public Comment

Chair Hurd: That takes us to general public comment. Katie, have we received any written general public comment?

517	Ms. Dinsmore: No Chairman Hurd we have not.
518 519	Chair Hurd: Alright, and there is no one in person, and there was no one online. So that closes general public comment, and then we have reached the end of the agenda, so the meeting is concluded.
520	Chair Hurd adjourned the meeting at 8:10 p.m.
521	
522	Respectfully submitted,
523 524	Karl Kadar, Secretary
525	As transcribed by Katie Dinsmore
526 527	Planning and Development Department Administrative Professional I