

CITY OF NEWARK DELAWARE

May 28, 2018

TO:

Chair and Members of the Planning Commission

FROM:

Mary Ellen Gray, AICP, Planning and Development Director We Draw

VIA:

Frank McIntosh, Planning Commissioner and Chair of Parking Subcommittee

RE:

Parking Subcommittee Report

Please find attached the following for your review and discussion at the June 5, 2018 Planning Commission meeting:

- Parking Subcommittee Report to Planning Commission dated May 1, 2018 as presented to the Planning Commission at the May 1, 2018 meeting
- Parking Subcommittee Report Addendum Comprehensive Parking System dated May 28, 2018
- Parking Policy Matrix Policy Layers (1) dated 3/21/18
- Parking Policy Matrix: Gap Analysis dated 3/21/18

Recommended Motion

Staff suggests that the Planning Commission recommend approval of the Parking Subcommittee Report Addendum-Comprehensive Parking System dated May 28, 2018 and the supporting documents including the Parking Subcommittee Report dated May 1, 2018, the Parking Policy Matrix Policy Layers (1) dated 3/21/18, and Parking Policy Matrix: Gap Analysis dated 3/21/18.

Thank you.

MEG/mv



CITY OF NEWARK DELAWARE

April 27, 2018

TO:

Chair and Members of the Planning Commission

FROM:

Mary Ellen Gray, AICP, Planning and Development Director

VIA:

Frank McIntosh, Planning Commissioner and Chair of Parking Subcommittee

RE:

Parking Subcommittee Report

Please find attached the Parking Subcommittee Report to Planning Commission, for discussion at the May 1, 2018 Planning Commission meeting.

Thank you.

MEG/mv

Parking Sub-Committee Report to Planning Commission May 1, 2018

Prepared by: Frank McIntosh, Chair

The proposal developed by the committee was arrived at by careful and dutiful review of the many different aspects of parking as it relates to the City of Newark and its citizens. The committee was mindful of finances, environment, quality of life and above all, what was in the best interests of the city's present and long-term interests.

It became quickly obvious that parking is an issue that is both personal and economic. Furthermore, parking is an overarching piece of the city's viability and ability to attract quality businesses and visitors.

To that end the committee understood that we could not produce a list of issues and attendant solutions unless they were integrated and overlapped. Accepting this as true, we took a holistic approach to parking. Our solutions move between and among the identified issues.

The package is designed to be applied in its totality and implemented over time. As such, it becomes the strength of the plan and requires both the patience to see it through and the commitment to appreciate the accumulative effect of its component parts.

The committee sees Newark as a vibrant, walkable city drawing on the highly diverse nature of its permanent and temporary citizens. Vibrant means there are multiplicity of activities, diverse in nature that appeal to our citizens and visitors. Newark is more than the University and all its culture. Having said that, the University is also an important piece of our vibrancy and we need to recognize and embrace what it offers to our city. And, because we want to walk or bike around our downtown area safely and in comfort, does not mean that we don't want people to use automobiles to get here; it means we want to be careful about where those vehicles are located once they arrive at Main Street.

Effective parking solutions are integral to the success of this vision. As with any complex issue, there can be and likely will be disruption of what we have become used to in favor of what changing times and vision of what can become the future.

The committee was designed to embrace the potential of the future of Newark; it was designed to think boldly and without partisan interest. There were no limitations to the depth or breadth of thinking. We agreed that the challenges that really good thinking produces would be dealt with on the merit of the idea after we put the plan together.

The committee included the following:

- Jordan Abada, Student University of Delaware
- Rob Cappiello, Business Manager United Methodist Church
- Mary Ellen Gray, Director Planning Department City of Newark

- Mike Fortner, Planner II City of Newark
- Will Hurd, Planning Commissioner
- Chris Locke, General Counsel and Partner, Lang Development
- Frank McIntosh, Planning Commissioner
- Lee Mikles, Owner, Grain Craft Bar + Kitchen
- Richard Rind, Director, Auxiliary Services University of Delaware
- Alan Silverman, Planning Commissioner

These individuals represented the various stakeholders of Newark's downtown business district. They were carefully selected because of their understanding of the issues, ability to think globally, and commitment to the betterment of the city's future.

The committee was resourced by city employees from both Planning, Parking and IT. These staff include: Michelle Vispi, Administrative Staff, Planning and Development Department; Marvin Howard, Parking Manager, Parking Division; Courtney Mulvanity, Parking Supervisor, Parking Division; and Jay Hodny, GIS Technician, Public Works and Water Resources Department. Their participation in the meetings and as resource with information, maps, and collective intelligence played a major role in our ability to zero in on the actual issues and develop solutions that are workable.

Additionally, the public was invited to participate in the committee's meetings by joining our breakout groups and giving their input directly within that critical stage of issue and idea development. The public was always allowed to question and comment during our process and it was the opinion of the committee that we gained greatly from their participation.

From a work standpoint, we needed to see the big picture. Thus, we started by building a list of all possible issues that might impact parking, positively or negatively. We listened to our committee members as they discussed the impact of parking on their area of influence. We listened to information provided by city resources and public comments on the subject. We then compiled a list of potential problems and using Survey Monkey rank ordered the issues that were preventing progress.

One of the major take a ways from this process was the persistence of the parking debate in the City of Newark. For all practical purposes this issue has been bantered about since the mideighties when the Newark Parking Authority was formed to solve the problem once and for all. On the surface, this knowledge presented a dilemma for us. The committee members were not used to losing or being unable to drive solutions.

Therefore, it was incumbent upon each member to be sure that their contribution to the effort needed to go beyond representing their particular interest group. Because of their talent in problem solving, they recognized that effective solutions designed to succeed over time could only be realized by thinking beyond their special interest. Success would be achieved by focusing

on the interests of the City, now and into the future. If the City grows and prospers, the groups they represent within will also prosper.

It was a delight to notice the change, ever so slightly in the discourse among both the committee members and the public. Quickly, the commitment to the greater good took hold.

The tools we used to flush out the issues and develop solutions included small group work, presentation of findings of these groups, followed by the whole group offering upgrades to the small group product. Once the issues were identified and agreed upon and the solutions developed and agreed upon, we took the discussion a step further by examining capacity.

Capacity assessment tells us whether or not we can do the job with existing resources. If not, it gives us an understanding of the gap that exists and provides the opportunity to assess what has to be done to close the gap. It also tells us when this may happen so that we can plan effective implementation of the plan.

Matrixes were developed to help us understand the interrelationship of the issues and solutions. Not surprisingly, we discovered that the dynamic of the plan was relational in nature. The pieces of the plan interacted with each other and were dependent upon each other to succeed.

While this presents its own challenges, the silver lining is a plan, so structured, becomes a stronger plan as its component parts support each other.

As implementation is not the purview of the committee, we suggested that the Planning Department begin to develop operational strategies to enact the solutions. While this is a work in progress, the committee has reviewed and commented on the strategies as they have been presented to us. In this fashion we are providing guidance to staff regarding our thinking on best practices.

We have made it clear that it is the responsibility of the staff to implement. They are the professionals and working with them over the past six months or so, it is clear to the committee that they are fully capable of getting the job done effectively. The committee thinks that it is inappropriate for us to dictate to professionals how to do their job. Our role is to make sure that staff understands what we mean regarding solutions and to offer advice as to how to accomplish the tasks.

So then, with this as background in mind, what did we decide was getting in our way and how were we going to set a new path that would put the city on a track to become a vibrant and viable location? A location that is inviting to families and businesses, to students and professors, to neighbors and organizations. Newark is a place that promotes walkability, biking and healthy living.

ISSUES AND SOLUTIONS

We identified seven major issues that needed to be addressed in order to resolve real or perceived parking problems in the downtown area.

As an example, the committee wrestled with the concept of what a parking problem means. To some people it means, if I can't park in front of the bank, there is a problem. To an individual who holds this view or one that it similar, the only option is to change the mindset.

Another concern is the perception that there is a parking problem in Newark, particularly when students are on campus. For our neighbors in surrounding communities and states, this perception often leads to the decision to seek shopping or entertainment elsewhere. The effect is not dissimilar to Wilmington's crime issue where people often make the decision to forego city based cultural and shopping opportunities because of safety concerns.

The conclusion of the committee was that if we resolved the identified issues, any others would be eliminated or fall in line and become non-issues.

Below are the strategic issues the committee identified. Let me emphasize that they are not ranked ordered. We think of them as being like a family. If there is a serious issue within a family, it effects each member of the family in way or another. Solutions must address the main issue and the collateral issues it spawns. You can't cherry pick one solution over another regardless of the reasoning without creating discord. When this occurs, there are no solutions at all.

- CULTURAL THINKING AROUND PARKING
- PARKING DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY AT CERTAIN TIMES OF THE DAY
- ZONING CODE (SUBURBAN VERSUS URBAN PARKING STANDARDS)
- STORM WATER
- EMPLOYEE PARKING
- INDEPENDENT, PRIVATE LOTS THAT ARE NOT PART OF THE CITY NETWORK
- ECONOMICS OF PARKING

Solutions will cross over from one issue to another. The solutions will be presented as to the actual action required and then reviewed as to how much of a gap exists between ready implementation and longer term. The gap analysis will take into account money and other resources against the availability of same.

CULTURAL THINKING AROUND PARKING

This is an overarching issue. A comprehensive marketing plan must be developed, most likely with the help of an outside resource. It must show a city that is on the move addressing any parking issues that exist, promoting changes made to locations and convenience of parking. It

must quell the idea that it is difficult to find parking in Newark. The plan will support all the other issues that we identify.

PARKING DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY AT CERTAIN TIMES OF THE DAY

We will create a Downtown parking app to assist travelers in finding parking within the city. Through a GIS map it will show the geographic availability of spaces in real time. There will be wayfinding signage which includes availability of parking at all lots.

The fees for downtown parking lots will be consistent with UD lots, particularly in Lot 1 (Galleria). Lots 3 and 4 can be lower but do not have to be. Reduction of downtown parking requirements will provide better utilized building and also increase the taxable income to the city.

ZONING CODE (SUBURBAN VERSUS URBAN PARKING STANDARDS)

There will be parking districts with different parking requirements. There will be de-coupling of parking for the multi-family development.

STORM WATER

Changes to the zoning code will reduce the number of private small lots thus improving storm water quality. Development of small private lots for single locations will be highly discouraged.

EMPLOYEE PARKING

Outer lots, either University or private, will be used for employee parking, which will have the effect of providing more parking for visitors. A circular downtown bus route will be used to transport employees from lots to various business locations.

INDEPENDENT, PRIVATE LOTS THAT ARE NOT PART OF THE CITY NETWORK

University and off time private lots will be used to increase additional public parking supply. The zoning codes will be reviewed to identify and fix impediments to creative parking solutions.

ECONOMICS OF PARKING

The above examples of issues and solutions demonstrate how an integrated plan can benefit our city, eliminating parking issues, laying the ground work for viable, livable, walkable, bikeable city. Specific details will be contained within the formal presentation.

In order for this plan to work we must open our minds to the potential of our city as we address current needs and open the door to future development.

Parking Sub-Committee Report Addendum

COMPREHENSIVE PARKING SYSTEM May 28, 2018

Prepared by: Frank McIntosh, Chair

CULTURAL THINKING AROUND PARKING

- Marketing Strategy
 - Wayfinding and Systems Education; Promotion of Parking Options
 - Improved wayfinding is on-going through the improved signage and web applications such as the downtown business directory map and the online parking map.
 - Use available resources with the DNP and City to provide useful information in text/videos regarding parking downtown in a way that is engaging and fun for viewers/users.
 - In conjunction with all downtown promotion campaigns, include promotional information on parking locations and cost – i.e., business sites could advertise "Park behind Galleria for a five-minute walk."
 - Changing Public Perception of Parking
 - Develop a comprehensive marketing plan, most likely with the assistance of an outside consultant, to communicate that Newark is on the move addressing any parking issues that exist, promoting changes made to locations and convenience of parking, and to dispel the idea that it is difficult to find parking in Newark. This marketing plan will support and promote all the other parking solutions.
- Internal Downtown Circular Bus Route
 - Explore feasibility of an internal downtown fixed-bus route serving, on a frequent and continual basis, areas between Deer Park Tavern and College Square along East Main Street and Delaware Avenue, and connect to all parking lots – municipal and private. One option would be to expand the current Unicity bus system for this service.

PARKING DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY AT CERTAIN TIMES OF THE DAY

- Improved Information on Wayfinding Signage
 - Parking lot countdown signage in front of each municipal lot are currently being deployed.
 - Develop an introductory billboard at a location near Newark Shopping center and/or North Chapel Street (or at Library Avenue) that gives real-time information of the municipal lots and Trabant Parking Garage.
 - o Improved identification of the function of the lot i.e., short/long term parking.

- Development of a "Parking App"
 - Continued development of the City's GIS capabilities.
 - Develop or coordinate an existing smart-phone application that can link "real-time" parking occupancy information at municipal lots and the Trabant Parking Garage to provide a guide to downtown visitors on parking locations, availability, costs, and payment options.
- Centrally Located Downtown Parking Garage- possibly through public/private partnership

ECONOMICS OF PARKING

- Use a "Dynamic" Fee Structure for Municipal Off-Street Lots and On-Street Parking based on Market Demand Levels
 - During times when University is in session, Municipal Lot 1 (Galleria) fees to meet or exceed University garage short-term rates to disincentivize its use for University patrons.
 - Increase municipal parking fees during peak-times and decrease during lowusage times.
 - On-street parking should be priced higher to encourage patrons to use off-street parking.

ZONING CODE REVISIONS

- Revise the Zoning Code, perhaps with the assistance of a consultant, to:
 - Create "Parking Districts" with different parking requirements based on location whereby:
 - "Downtown District": Eliminate all off-street parking minimums and requirements for all new uses, changes of uses, expansions, and developments.
 - "Shared Parking District": Area surrounding downtown would have an off-street parking requirement equal to ½ of the current requirement for "Shopping Centers."
 - "Neighborhood District": An outer zone would have parking requirements in code, but would have a "mechanism" for developers to reduce them by providing bicycle, transit, or pedestrian amenities, or pay fees to be used for shuttle bus, or implement Newark Bicycle Plan, etc.
 - Separate parking i.e., "de-couple" for residential uses in districts surrounding the downtown and UD.
 - Residential developments would be encouraged/required to not include parking with the lease of an apartment unit.
 - Parking provided at the site could be leased separately at a market rate.

 Identify and eliminate barriers/prohibitions to allow private parking entities to share parking lots. Much of this supply would come from buildings where the parking had been fully dedicated by code.

STORMWATER

- Continue to explore locations and financing options/partnerships for a centrally located parking garage downtown (see "PARKING DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY AT CERTAIN TIMES OF THE DAY" above).
 - o Reduce impervious surfaces and improve water quality.
 - Reduce zoning code requirements for minimum parking requirements (see "ZONING CODE REVISIONS" above).

EMPLOYEE PARKING

- Utilize UD's current daily and monthly permit parking program in Pearson/Graham Lot and Hollingsworth Lot from 4:00 pm to 7:00 am https://sites.udel.edu/parking/permit-info/. Currently a monthly parking permit in these locations is \$17.00/month.
- Negotiate and enter into lease agreements with surrounding private parking lot owners for use of their parking facilities during their off-hours for parking of employees who work downtown.
- Pursue lease agreements with:
 - Newark Shopping Center for putting meters in spaces closest to Main Street.
 - o College Square to have parking available to be on downtown shuttle route.
- Maximize use of municipal lots at night.

INDEPENDENT, PRIVATE LOTS THAT ARE NOT PART OF THE CITY PARKING NETWORK

 Review the City of Newark Codes to identify and eliminate barriers/prohibitions of allowing private parking entities managing shared, private parking lots (see "ZONING CODE REVISIONS" above).

Parking Policy Matrix Policy Layers (1) DRAFT 3/21/18 **Solutions Strategic Issues Solutions** Policy Layer #3: Expansion: Increased Supply and Access to Parking Designate Employee DT Parking "App": Parking Areas: Outer Assist travelers to lots or lots on private find parking **Marketing Strategy:** property during off-Parking/GIS Link: **Promotion of Parking Internal Downtown Circular Bus** Parking Distribution/ hours Show geographic **Options** Route Availability at cer-Designated employee availability - real-**Negotiated Lease Agree**tain times of day. parking in outer lots time ments with PRIVATE parkopens up closer cus-Signage: Wayfinding ing lots Owners. tomer parking **Negotiated Lease Agreement** signage includes Centrally Located Downavailability at lots with UNIVERSITY parking lots town Parking Garage Use University and off Marketing Strategy: time private lots to Public education on increase public park-Policy Layer #2 Culture: Evaluating Demand parking locations & ing supply. **Reduce or eliminate Zoning Cultural thinking** convenience Zoning code review to **Amend Zoning Code to Create Code Mandated Parking** around parking. DT Internal Shuttle: identify impediments "Parking Districts" that reform Requirements Connecting DT visito creative parking parking requirements **Review of City Zoning** tors to surrounding solutions Code to Identify Impedparking areas. "De-coupling" in iments to creative **Marketing Strategy:** "Decouple" Parking Require-Multi-Family develparking solutions for ments for multi-family resi-Changing public perception of opments Parking Districts with private property. parking dential different parking re-**Zoning Code Issues** quirements. (suburban vs. urban -Downtown/ Neigh-Keep Downtown Policy Layer # 1: Efficiency: Managing the Existing Parking Supply parking standards borhood/ Suburban. parking lot fees con-"De-coupling" Parksistent with UD lots ing in MF Develop Parking Wayfinding Sign-Lot 1(Galleria) fee "Dynamic " Fee structure for age with "Real-time" consistent with UD Municipal Parking rates. **Parking Information** lots; Lots 3&4 can be Reducing number of lower private small lots im-Linking GIS "Real-time" Reducing downtown proves stormwater. **Marketing Strategy: Development of a "Smart"** Parking Information and parking requirequality **Downtown Parking App for Wayfinding & Systems** Storm water issues **Location with City's** ments -building Discourage develop-**Smartphone Access.** Education Webpage. better utilized-

ment of small private

parking lots for single

location

Strategic Issues

Employee

parking

Independent, private

lots that are not part

of the City parking

network

Economics of

parking.

taxable income

Strategic Issues

Parking Policy Matrix: Gap Analysis DRAFT 3/21/18

Parking Distribution/ Availability at certain times of day.

Cultural thinking around parking.

Zoning Code Issues (suburban vs. urban parking standards

Storm water issues

Employee parking

Independent, private lots that are not part of the City parking network

Economics of parking.

Policy Layer #3: Significant Gap: Requires resources not currently feasible at this time. (Expansion: Increasing the Supply and Access to Parking)

Internal Downtown Circular Bus Route

- Challenges: Significant costs requiring either expansion of the UniCity service or contracting with a private transportation firm.
- Opportunities: Would need to be included in the Marketing Strategy.

Negotiated Lease Agreements with PRIVATE parking lots Owners.

- Challenges: Private lot owners downtown tend to be very hesitant, or not at all interested, in giving up control of their lots for a variety of reasons.
- Opportunities: Negotiated lease agreements could have significant incentives for increased income to lot owners at times when the lot is not used by the primary business.

<u>Centrally Located Downtown Parking Garage.</u>

- Challenges: Significant cost either a public investment or through a private development. Significant public debate on funding, location, potential increase in traffic (and undercutting incentives to use transit, walk, or bike), as well as the impact on parking fees to recoup costs.
- Opportunities: Possibility of Public/ private partnerships.

Marketing Strategy: Changing public perception of parking.

- Challenges: Since the late 90s, the City, through its involvement with the Downtown Newark Partnership, has consistently countered the perception of lack of parking through an advertisement campaign.
- Opportunities: Proposal calls for a more comprehensive strategy which would require assistance from a consultant with specific expertise.

<u>Development of a "Smart" Downtown Parking App</u> for Smartphone Access.

- Challenges: While the City has developed a web application viewable from a smartphone that provides information on location of lots and availability, a "Smart App" that can guide users to specific lots (similar to an app like <u>Ways</u>) would require a significant investment.
- Opportunities: There are a number of existing applications that guide users to parking. For example, the
 <u>Ways</u> app can direct to the nearest public parking—
 although it does not yet have data on availability.

Policy Layer #2 Feasible Gap: Additional Funding and/or technical assistance would be needed. (Culture: Evaluating Demand)

Updating the Zoning Code

Review of City Zoning
Code to Identify Impediments to creative
parking solutions for
private property.

<u>Amend Zoning Code to Create "Parking Districts"</u> <u>that reform parking requirements</u>

Reduce or eliminate Zoning Code Mandated Parking Requirements

"Decouple" Parking Requirements for multi-family residential

- A consultant service to provide technical assistance to revising the Zoning Code would be beneficial to evaluate our existing regulations and develop <u>Code</u> for "Parking Districts".
- A consulting service to develop an outreach & marketing strategy for the proposed <u>Zoning Code</u> changes, which are significant and would require outreach and consultation with downtown business owners, customers, Planning Commissioners, and Council members.

"Dynamic " Fee structure for Municipal Parking rates.

- Proposals have been reviewed by Council and have faced opposition from some downtown businesses and members of the public, preventing the City from implementing the strategy.
- A consultant service could provide further refinement of the proposal—particularly in meeting the need for employee parking.

Policy Layer # 1: No or Little Gap: Project proposal can be achieved within current City capacity. (Efficiency: Managing the Existing Parking Demand)

<u>Parking Wayfinding Signage with "Real-time"</u> <u>Parking Information</u>

- Parking Administration Office has budgeted and ordered signage for each fee-simple lot .
- Coordinating signage is being developed and feasible within existing budget.

Marketing Strategy: Wayfinding & Systems Education & Promotion of Parking Options

- Improved Wayfinding is on-going through improved signage and web-applications such as the downtown business directory map.
- More promotion can be achieved through existing the City's and DNP's existing social media.

Negotiated Lease Agreement with UNIVERSITY parking lots.

- The University of Delaware's Pearson/Graham Lot has a nights and weekend "flat rate" that can be used for downtown employees/customers.
- Trabant garage is open to the public.
- These features can be better promoted through DNP and Communications Dept.

Linking GIS "Real-time" Parking Information and Location with City's Webpage.

- City's IT /GIS Department & Parking Administration
 Office have been developing web application currently available.
- Continual improvements are on-going with increased parking availability data.